UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,201	1 07/03/2006 Akimasa Yuuki		293181US2PCT	9973	
	7590 11/17/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA	REET	AMADIZ, RODNEY			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2629			
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/17/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application I	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/585,201		YUUKI ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			RODNEY AM	ADIZ	2629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>02 .lul</i> v	v 2009						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 July 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the	application							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requ	irement.					
	on Papers		•						
	•								
-	The specification is objected to by the								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		-	-					
	Applicant may not request that any object			-		ED 4 4047 IV			
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_	•			, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen			A \	Intonious Summers	(PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/585,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 5, 7, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu (USPGPUB 2005/0046768—hereinafter "Wu").

As to Claim 1, Wu teaches a liquid crystal display comprising:

a liquid crystal panel having two screens (See Fig. 5 and Pg. 1, ¶ 2);

a first front light (*Fig. 5, 161*) placed in a vicinity of one of the two screens of said liquid crystal panel;

a second front light placed in a vicinity of the other one of the two screens of said liquid crystal panel (Fig. 5, 162); and

a pixel driving circuit configured to drive pixels (inherent to an image displaying LCD as shown in Figure 6) of said liquid crystal panel to alternately display a first image and a second image on said liquid crystal panel, wherein said first front light lights up while the first image is displayed on said liquid crystal panel by said pixel driving circuit, and said second front light lights up while the second image is displayed on said liquid crystal panel by said pixel driving circuit (Pgs. 1 and 2, ¶'s 19-20—note

Application/Control Number: 10/585,201 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

that the first and second image are alternately displayed when the device shown in Figure 6 is opened and closed).

As to <u>Claim 5</u>, Wu teaches a liquid crystal layer which includes the liquid crystal panel has a bend alignment (*Pg. 1*, ¶ 2—inherent to LC displays in order not to let light pass through).

As to <u>Claim 7</u>, Wu teaches a liquid crystal layer which constitutes the liquid crystal panel has a substantially-parallel alignment (*Pg. 1*, ¶ 2—inherent to LC displays in order to allow light to pass through).

As to <u>Claim 10</u>, Wu teaches that a direction in which light is emitted out of each of the first and second front lights is inclined with respect to a direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel, and the direction in which the light is emitted out of the first front light differs from the direction in which the light is emitted out of the second front light (See Fig. 5 and note micro-rhombuses 122 and 142).

As to **Claim 13**, Wu teaches information equipment comprising:

a liquid crystal display (See Fig. 5 and Pg. 1, ¶ 2) including a first front light placed in a vicinity of one of two screens of a liquid crystal panel (Fig. 5, 161), a second front light placed in a vicinity of the other one of the two screens of said liquid crystal panel (Fig. 5, 162), and a pixel driving circuit configured to drive pixels of said liquid crystal panel to display an image on said liquid crystal panel (inherent to an image displaying LCD as shown in Figure 6);

an image controller (*image-reversing unit*) configured to output image data about the image which is to be displayed on said liquid crystal panel to said pixel driving circuit (*Pgs. 1 and 2*, ¶'s 19-20), wherein

said pixel driving circuit is configured to receive image data about a first image and image data about a second image from said image controller, and alternately display the first and second images on said liquid crystal panel, and said first front light lights up while the first image is displayed on said liquid crystal panel by said pixel driving circuit, and said second front light lights up while the second image is displayed on said liquid crystal panel by said pixel driving circuit (*Pgs. 1 and 2*, ¶'s 19-20—note that the first and second image are alternately displayed when the device shown in Figure 6 is opened and closed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 4, 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu.

As to <u>Claim 2</u>, Wu teaches that when displaying the first or second image on the liquid crystal panel, the pixel driving circuit applies image data about the image to be

Application/Control Number: 10/585,201

Art Unit: 2629

displayed on the liquid crystal panel to a plurality of gate lines of the liquid crystal panel in turn (inherent to an image displaying LCD as shown in Figure 6). Wu, however, fails to teach that the first or second front light lights up after the image data has been applied to all the gate lines. The Examiner takes Official Notice that lighting up a light source after the image data has been applied to the gate lines is old and well known in the art. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to light up the first or second front light taught by Wu after the image data has been applied to the gate lines so that the display device may properly display an image.

Page 5

As to <u>Claim 4</u>, Wu teaches that the liquid crystal panel includes a liquid crystal cell having the plurality of pixels (*inherent to an image displaying LCD as shown in Figure 6*). Wu, however, fails to teach a pair of transparent glass substrates which sandwich said liquid crystal cell, and a pair of polarizing plates placed outside said pair of transparent glass substrates. The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the art for an LCD to utilize pair of transparent glass substrates which sandwich said liquid crystal cell, and a pair of polarizing plates placed outside said pair of transparent glass substrates. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a pair of transparent glass substrates and a pair of polarizing plates placed outside said pair of transparent glass substrates in the liquid crystal display taught by Wu in order to form and keep the liquid crystal within the substrates and to properly reduce reflection.

As to <u>Claims 6 and 9</u>, Wu fails to teach that a circular polarizing plate is placed outside a TFT substrate which includes the liquid crystal panel. The Examiner takes Official Notice that circular polarizing plates are old and well-known in the art. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a circular polarizing plate in the liquid crystal display device taught by Wu in order to help reduce reflections.

As to <u>Claim 8</u>, Wu fails to teach that a material of the liquid crystal layer has refractive index anisotropy which falls within a range of 0.1 to 0.2, and the liquid crystal layer has a birefringence value which falls within a range of 350 nm to 550 nm. The Examiner takes Official Notice that these ranges well-known in the liquid crystal display art. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the liquid crystal layer taught by Wu with the ranges as claimed in order to allow light to travel through the liquid crystal layer properly.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Eichenlaub (U.S. Patent 5,428,366—hereinafter "Eichenlaub").

As to <u>Claim 3</u>, Wu teaches that the pixel driving circuit applies image data about the image to be displayed on the liquid crystal panel to a plurality of gate lines of the liquid crystal panel in turn (*inherent to an image displaying LCD as shown in Figure*6). Wu, however, fails to teach that each of the first and second front lights includes a plurality of light sources which respectively correspond to the plurality of gate lines to

Application/Control Number: 10/585,201

Art Unit: 2629

Examiner cites Eichenlaub to teach a display device having a plurality of light sources which respectively correspond to the plurality of gate lines to light up in order that the image data is applied to the plurality of gate lines (See Figs. 4 and 5a-5c and Col. 1, lines 1-47). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Eichenlaub, that is, providing a plurality of light sources which respectively correspond to a plurality of gate lines to light up in order that the image data is applied to the plurality of gate lines in the liquid crystal display taught by Wu in order to properly display an image on the display device

Page 7

6. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Taira et al. (U.S. Patent 6,867,828—hereinafter "Taira").

As to <u>Claim 11</u>, Wu teaches that the direction in which the light is emitted out of each of the first and second front lights is inclined toward an upward or downward direction with respect to the direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel, and the direction in which the light is emitted out of the first front light differs from the direction in which the light is emitted out of the second front light (See Fig. 5 and note micro-rhombuses 122 and 142). Wu fails to teach that the direction in which the light is emitted out of each of the first and second front lights is inclined toward an upward or downward direction by an angle of 5 to 10 degrees with respect to the direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel, and the direction in which the light is emitted out of the first front light differs from the direction in which the light is emitted out of the

Application/Control Number: 10/585,201

Art Unit: 2629

second front light by an angle of 10 to 20 degrees. (Emphasis is added to the part limitations not taught by Wu). The Examiner cites Taira to teach a light guide emitting light toward an upward direction by an angle of 5 to 10 degrees with respect to the direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel (See Figs. 3-6 and Col. 2, lines 9-15). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Taira, that is emitting light toward an upward direction by an angle of 5 to 10 degrees with respect to the direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel in the liquid crystal display taught by Wu in order to provide a visually enhanced image. As a result, the combination of Wu and Taira teaches that direction in which the light is emitted out of the first front light differs from the direction in which the light is emitted out of the second front light by an angle of 10 to 20 degrees.

Page 8

As to <u>Claim 12</u>, Wu teaches that the direction in which the light is emitted out of each of the first and second front lights is inclined toward a direction opposite to a direction of a light source of each of the first and second front lights with respect to the direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel, and the direction in which the light is emitted out of the first front light differs from the direction in which light is emitted out of the second front light (See Fig. 5 and note micro-rhombuses 122 and 142). Wu fails to teach that the direction in which the light is emitted out of each of the first and second front lights is inclined toward a direction opposite to a direction of a light source of each of the first and second front lights by an angle of 5 to 10 degrees with respect to the direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel, and the direction in which the light is emitted out of

Art Unit: 2629

the second front light by an angle of 10 to 20 degrees. The Examiner cites Taira to teach a light guide emitting light toward an upward direction opposite to a direction of a light source of each of the first and second front lights by an angle of 5 to 10 degrees with respect to the direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel (See Figs. 3-6 and Col. 2, lines 9-15). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Taira, that is, emitting light toward an upward direction opposite to a direction of a light source by an angle of 5 to 10 degrees with respect to the direction perpendicular to the liquid crystal panel in the liquid crystal display taught by Wu in order to provide a visually enhanced image. As a result, the combination of Wu and Taira teaches that direction in which the light is emitted out of the first front light differs from the direction in which the light is emitted out of the second front light by an angle of 10 to 20 degrees.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chuang

USPGPUB 2004/0080924

Application/Control Number: 10/585,201 Page 10

Art Unit: 2629

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RODNEY AMADIZ whose telephone number is (571)272-7762. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 11/05/09

/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629